



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/890,432	07/30/2001	Gerhard Spitz	112740-271	1689
29177 75	10/18/2005	·	EXAMINER	
BELL, BOYD & LLOYD, LLC			SHAH, NILESH R	
P. O. BOX 113	5		·	
CHICAGO, IL 60690-1135			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2195	

DATE MAILED: 10/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/890,432	SPITZ, GERHARD			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Nilesh Shah	2195			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	opears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
Period fo			(2) 27 7 1177 (20) 7 17			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IT IS IN A STATE OF THE MA	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)[\implies]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21.	July 2005.				
•	·	is action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-12</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	6) Claim(s) 7-12 is/are rejected.					
. 7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
,	The specification is objected to by the Examir					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the t	Examiner, note the attached Office	a Action of Ionn P10-152.			
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmen		_				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/890,432

Art Unit: 2195

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 7-12 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 7 recites the limitation "currently accessing task" in 14 and 16. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Which task is current?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. Claims 7-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Toutonghi (5,784,618) in view of William (5,418,956).
- 5. As per claim 7, Toutonghi teaches the invention substantially as claimed including a method for access to at least one variable in a preemptively multitasking-controlled processor system, the method comprising the steps of providing a task scheduler for processing tasks (abstract; col. 1 lines 45-52;col. 8 lines 21-33);

providing an access status memory (col. 1 lines 54-59; col. 8 lines 21-30); inputting, via an accessing task, a blocking information item into the access status memory before the access to the at least one variable(col. 3 lines 15-30; col. 8 lines 30-40);

Art Unit: 2195

checking, via the task scheduler and when there is a task change intended by the task scheduler during the access, the access status memory for an input blocking information item and delaying the intended task change via the task scheduler when the blocking information item is input (col. 2 lines 40-56; col. 3 lines 32-45; col. 9 lines 13-25); inputting a task change information item using the input blocking information item and inputting, via the currently accessing task, a release information item into the access status memory at the end of the access (col. 4 lines 54-65; col. 5 lines 15-25); and initiating the intended task change, via the currently accessing task, when the task change information item is input (col. 1 lines 57-62; col. 11 lines 1-26).

- Toutonghi does not specifically teach the use of secure access.
 William teaches the use of a secure access system (col. 3 lines 5-12).
- 7. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to combine the teachings of William and Toutonghi at the time the invention was made because William's secure system would improve Toutonghi's system by making sure all communications are secure thus making the entire system protected from outside intruders.
- 8. As per claim 8, Toutonghi teaches a method further comprising the steps of: activating a time monitoring system having a time period of at least a duration of the secure access; and terminating the secure access the expiration of the defined time period (col. 3 lines 32-45, col. 9 lines 13-25; col. 11 lines 20-27).
- 9. As per claim 9, Toutonghi teaches a method further comprising the steps of

checking contents of the access status memory at the end of the secure access and before the inputting of the release information item(abstract; col. 4 lines 54-65; col. 5 lines 15-25);

deactivating the activated time monitoring system when the task change information item is present and transmitting a technical operating information item which initiates the intended task change to the task scheduler by the currently accessing task (col. 9 lines 13-25;col. 11 lines 20-27).

- 10. As per claim 10, Toutonghi teaches a method further comprising the steps of:
 overwriting contents of the access status memory by the inputting of at least one of the
 blocking information item, the task change information item and the release information
 item into the access status memory (col. 4 lines 54-65; col. 5 lines 15-25).
- 11. As per claim 11, Toutonghi teaches a method further comprising the steps of: forming the blocking information item, the task change information item and the release information item by at least one single-bit information item (col. 2 lines 40-56; col. 3 lines 32-45; col. 9 lines 13-25).
- 12. As per claim 12, Toutonghi teaches a method further comprising the steps of:
 representing a variable by one of a variable of a software module which is stored in a
 memory unit and a hardware-related setting information item which is stored in a
 hardware register (col. 3 lines 32-45; col. 9 lines 13-25; col. 11 lines 20-27).

Response to Arguments

13. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 7-12 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

14. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nilesh Shah whose telephone number is (571)272-3771.
The examiner can normally be reached on 9-5. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the TC 2100 Group receptionist:
571-272-2100

Application/Control Number: 09/890,432 Page 6

Art Unit: 2195

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng An can be reached on (571)272-3756. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nilesh Shah Examiner Art Unit 2195

NS

October 5, 2005

UPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2300